

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/940,762	08/27/2001	Mark E. Pecen	CS99025RL	5766	
	20280 7590 01/23/2007 MOTOROLA INC			EXAMINER	
600 NORTH US HIGHWAY 45			NGUYEN, THUAN T		
ROOM AS437 LIBERTYVILLE, IL 60048-5343			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DIDERTITIES	32, 12 000 10 33 13		2618		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/23/2007	PAI	PER .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/940,762	PECEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	THUAN T. NGUYEN	2618
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer and the correction is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the lad a by the lad a by the lad and one of the lad and one o	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Pre-Appeal Brief

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless—
(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1, 8, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smyth et al. (U.S. Patent 6,347,224 B1).

Regarding claims 1, 8, and 17, Smyth discloses a real-time and interactive communication system and its corresponding method in a (mobile wireless, for claims 8 and 17) communication application, comprising "transmitting a request from the communication to a communication server for a cost to conduct a communication transaction; receiving from the communication server, in response to the request, a cost offer for the transaction before the

Art Unit: 2618

communication application conduct the transaction", i.e., a user at a mobile device (Figs. 1, 3 & 9 and col. 5/lines 40-65 & col. 4/lines 47-61) can request for a cost to conduct a communication transaction and selects the cost offer for the transaction before the transaction takes place (refer to col. 3/lines 13-30 & col. 4/lines 48-61 & col. 10/lines 35-57 for details on the cost of transaction (for a call) is requested, and the cost is provided to the requester before the requester decides whether to use the transaction service with the cost offered or not).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-7, 9-16, and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smyth et al. (US Patent 6,347,224 B1) in further view of Joao et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,878,337).

For claim 2, Smyth does not goes further details on the transaction process for "receiving a cost offer including at least a communication service cost component"; however, in the same filed of providing interactive goods and services to users, Joao further discloses the further steps of requesting, selecting, rejecting or accepting the (offer) cost of transactions before hand and the cost of components, an amount of information of the transaction, and subscription information associated with the application (col. 18/lines 45-56 for the cost of transaction and the cost of components or broken down components for the amount of transaction further includes

Art Unit: 2618

supplement information such as the costs of goods and services as well as the time and location for each transaction including components and limits for each transaction with user's information, see col. 16/lines 13-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Smyth's system with Joao's further details on how to handle the transaction process in order to provide an enhanced communication system for providing details on transaction on an interactive basis.

As for claims 3-7, in further of claim 2 above, Joao further discloses the further steps of requesting, selecting, rejecting or accepting the (offer) cost of transactions before hand and the cost of components, an amount of information of the transaction, and subscription information associated with the application (col. 18/lines 45-56 for the cost of transaction and the cost of components or broken down components as in claim 3 for the amount of transaction further includes supplement information such as the costs of goods and services as well as the time and location for each transaction including components and limits for each transaction with user's information, see col. 16/lines 13-35); then the steps of receiving the costs and the user charges his/her credits based on at least partly on the credit to the user of the communication application including a cost offer based partly on the credit to a user of the communication application for conducting the transaction with restrictions and limitations (col. 16/lines 13-35), through a authorization process whether to authorize or unauthorized the transactions (Figs. 9 & 9 (cont.) for claim 6). The entire process is conducted within a wireless communication network (see col. 3/line 60 to col. 4/line 56; col. 5/lines 3-39; col. 7/line 30 to col. 8/line 22 for transaction information including authorization, spending limits, types of transactions or a cost offer, types of goods and services or in other words, the quality of communications service, daily spending

Art Unit: 2618

limits, and other limitations (as for claim 4-5); col. 8/line 60 to col. 9/line 53 for security issues; and col. 11/lines 25-59 for Internet accesses as well as transactions in real-time). Joao further includes a server computer to handle the transactions between vendors and users interactively (Fig. 10/item 350; and col. 35/lines 19-59 for claim 7).

As for claims 9-16 and 18-23, these claims with same limitations are rejected for the reasons given in the scope of claims 2-7 with the disclosure and teaching of Joao as disclosed above (see Joao reference for further details & embodiments not limited to any type of any communication systems (col. 4/lines 32-41) and to any type of receiving either stationary or wireless/mobile apparatus (col. 5/lines 3-19) as well as offer the reliability of the wireless transaction cost to the wireless communication device by providing security measures per claim 23 (col. 11/line 65 to col. 12/line 15).

Conclusion

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to the New Central Fax number:

(571) 273-8300, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand deliveries must be made to Customer Service Window,

Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Thuan Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7895. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TONYT. NGUYEN

Tony T. Nguyen Art Unit 2618 January 16, 2007